SINGLE BENCH (A)

CF:1002 (2)

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

**W. P.(S). NO. 82 /2006**

**PETITIONER** : **Gopal Ram Patel**

**S/o Shri Abhay Ram Patel** Age 44 years,

Asstt. Teacher,

Primary School Pachera

Block- Abhanpur, Distt. - Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2222/06
Presented by Shri SK Verma
on 23/12/06

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENT** : 1. **State Govt. of Chhattisgarh**

Through Secretary

Educational Department

D.K.S. Bhawan, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
22/XII/06
Clerk to A. G. BILASPUR

2. **Distric Education Officer**

Raipur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)

3. **Block Education Officer**

Abhanpur, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)

## WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(20)

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

---

**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.**

---

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Hemant Kumar Sharma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Smt. Chanda Rani Thakur

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Magan Lal Yadav

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.8 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Chaitram Sidar

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

(21)

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.13 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Ravi Shankar Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.19 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Dhanesh Kumar Sharma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.26 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Prafulla Kumar Sahu

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.27 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Akhilesh Kumar Shrivastava

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

22

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.28 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Natthu Lal Sao

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.29 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Smt. Abista Kujur

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.30 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Gopal Ram Rawat

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.31 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Prahlad Kumar Banjare

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

(23)

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.32 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Gopal Ram Patel

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.33 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Lakhan Lal Sarthi

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.61 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Mod. Akhtar

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.66 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Smt. Parwati Bai Dhanker

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

(24)

**WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.70 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Jagdish Prasad Daharia

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another

---

Present : Mr. Rakesh Anthony, Mr. Sanjay Patel and Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate and Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

---

**ORDER**

(Passed on 15th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** **vs.** ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** **vs.** ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been

changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

**Sd/-**
**Satish K. Agnihotri**
**Judge**

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96